IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN MORTON	: CIVIL ACTION NO.
5 East 3 rd Street	:
Pottstown, PA 19464	:

Plaintiff, : <u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>

v.

TECHO-BLOC CORPORATION 23 Quarry Road Douglassville, PA 19518

Defendant.

<u>COMPLAINT – CIVIL ACTION</u>

Plaintiff, John Morton ("Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned attorney, for his Complaint against Techo-Bloc Corporation ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

1. Plaintiff brings this Complaint contending that Defendant has violated the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, *et seq.* ("ADA"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), 43 P.S. § 951, *et seq* and is in breach of its obligations towards Plaintiff under Pennsylvania common law.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff John Morton is an adult American citizen residing at 5 East 3rd Street Pottstown, PA 19464.
- 3. Defendant Techo-Bloc Corporation, upon information and belief, is a corporation doing business in Pennsylvania with a place of business at 23 Quarry Road Douglassville, PA 19518.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Paragraphs 1 through 3 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 5. On or about September 16, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Complaint with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC"), which was dually-filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission (the "PHRC"), thereby satisfying the requirements of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12117(a); 2000e-5(b) and (e). Plaintiff's Complaint was docketed as PHRC Case Number: 201802208 and EEOC Charge Number: 530-2017-00428. Plaintiff's Complaint was filed within one hundred and eighty (180) days of the unlawful employment practice.
- 6. By correspondence dated September 3, 2020, Plaintiff received a Notice of Rights to Sue from the EEOC regarding his Charge of Discrimination, advising him that she had ninety (90) days to file suit against Defendant.
 - 7. Plaintiff filed the instant matter within the relevant statutory timeline.
- 8. Plaintiff has therefore exhausted his administrative remedies and has complied with all conditions precedent to maintaining this action.
- 9. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. ("ADA"),
- 10. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343, as it is a civil rights action arising under the laws of the United States.
- 11. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims because those claims arise out of the same nucleus of operative fact as the ADA claim.
- 12. The venue in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, inasmuch as the events giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

FACTS

- 13. Paragraphs 1 through 12 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 14. Plaintiff began his employment with Defendant in or around July 2015 in the position of Temporary Laborer.
- 15. Defendant promoted Plaintiff to the position of Laborer on or about March 13,2016.
- 16. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff received positive performance reviews, occasional praise for his work, and no justifiable discipline.
- 17. On or about April 21, 2016, Plaintiff was diagnosed with a hernia as a result of carrying one hundred-pound blocks on Defendant's worksite. A hernia is a disability within the meaning of the ADA and PHRA as it substantially impaired one or more of his major life activities.
- 18. Plaintiff provided Defendant with notice of the work-related injury on or about April 22, 2016.
- 19. On or about April 22, 2016, Plaintiff returned to work. Upon his return to work, Plaintiff asked for and Defendant granted a reasonable accommodation in the form of a reduction in the amount of weight Plaintiff was required to lift.
- 20. A few hours after the reasonable accommodation was granted, Steven Minnor ("Mr. Minnor"), one of Defendant's managers, instructed Plaintiff not to return to work on or about April 25, 2020 which was the following work day. Mr. Minnor explained that he had to "discuss the situation" with Defendant's senior management and Human Resources Department.
- 21. On or about April 25, 2016, Plaintiff called Defendant's senior management and Human Resources Department approximately twelve (12) times; however, none of these calls were responded to.

3

- 22. Later in the day, Mr. Minnor called Plaintiff and advised him that he was being terminated. Mr. Minnor justified this decision by stating that termination would "better protect [Plaintiff] and the company." Mr. Minnor further noted that Defendant does not make accommodations.
- 23. Accordingly, it is believed and therefore averred that Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment on account of his actual and/or perceived disabilities, because Defendant regarded him as being disabled, for his past record of impairment, and in retaliation for requesting a reasonable accommodation in connection thereto, in violation of the ADA and PHRA.
 - 24. Plaintiff filed a Worker's Compensation claim on or about April 26, 2016.
- 25. The EEOC found that reasonable cause existed to believe that Defendant violated the ADA when they terminated Plaintiff and failed to provide him a reasonable accommodation.
- 26. As a result of Defendant's deliberate, willful, malicious, and unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including, but not limited to, loss of employment, earnings and earnings potential, loss of potential bonuses, and other economic damages, and has also suffered mental anguish, emotional pain and suffering, emotional distress, humiliation, and damage to his reputation.

COUNT I AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION

- 27. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are hereby incorporated by reference as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 28. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.
 - 29. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant had at least fifteen (15) employees.

- 30. Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability within the meaning of the ADA.
- 31. As described above, Plaintiff's disability substantially limits Plaintiff in one or more major life activities.
- 32. As Plaintiff advised Defendant of his disability, Defendant was aware of Plaintiff's disability and/or regarded Plaintiff as being disabled.
- 33. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant, through its agents, officers, servants, and/or employees, has violated the ADA by terminating Plaintiff on account of his disabilities, because it regarded his as being disabled within the meaning of the ADA, and/or in retaliation for plaintiff's requesting a reasonable accommodation.
- 34. Plaintiff's assertion that Defendant terminated him in violation of the ADA is buttressed by the EEOC's finding that reasonable cause exists to believe that Plaintiff's termination was in violation of the ADA and as a direct result of his request for a reasonable accommodation.
- 35. Plaintiff's assertion that he was unlawfully terminated is further supported by the proximity in time between Plaintiff advising Defendant of his disability and Defendant taking adverse employment action. Within a few hours of learning of Plaintiff's disability, Defendant advised Plaintiff not to return to work on the following business day. Within four (4) days of learning of Plaintiff's disability, Defendant terminated Plaintiff.
- 36. As a result of Defendant's deliberate, unlawful, and malicious acts as set forth above, Plaintiff has suffered loss of employment, earnings, earnings potential, raises, and other significant economic benefits, along with emotional pain and suffering, emotional distress, and humiliation.

WHEREFORE, as a result of the unlawful conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against Defendant, and grant him the maximum relief allowed by law, including, but not limited to:

- A. Back wages, front pay, and bonuses in an amount to be determined at trial, but not less than one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00);
- B. Punitive, compensatory, and/or exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but sufficient to punish Defendant for its intentional, negligent, willful, wanton, and/or malicious conduct;
- C. Plaintiff's costs, disbursements, and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this matter:
 - D. Pre-judgment interest in an appropriate amount;
 - E. Such other and further relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances; and
- F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth by applicable federal law.

COUNT II THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT 43 P.S. § 951, ET SEQ. DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION

- 37. Paragraphs 1 through 36 are hereby incorporated by reference, as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 38. Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability within the meaning of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq.
- 39. As described above, Plaintiff's disability substantially limited Plaintiff in one or more major life activities.

- 40. As Plaintiff advised Defendant of his disability, Defendant was aware of Plaintiff's disability and/or regarded Plaintiff as being disabled.
- 41. The facts surrounding Plaintiff's termination, many of which are highlighted above, support Plaintiff's contention that Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff for his requests to be reasonably accommodated and/or on the basis of his actual and/or perceived disability.
- 42. As a result of Defendant's deliberate, unlawful, and malicious actions as set forth above, Plaintiff has suffered loss of employment, earnings, raises, other significant economic benefits, emotional pain and suffering, emotional distress and humiliation.
- 43. The conduct described above constitutes a violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 955, *et seq.*, and affords Plaintiff the opportunity to seek any and all remedies available under said Act.

WHEREFORE, as a result of the unlawful conduct of the Defendant, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against Defendant, and grant him the maximum relief allowed by law, including, but not limited to:

- A. Back wages, front pay, and bonuses in an amount to be determined at trial, but not less than one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00);
 - B. Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- C. Plaintiff's costs, disbursements, and attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting this action;
 - D. Pre-judgment interest in an appropriate amount; and
 - E. Such other and further relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances;

F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages as set forth by applicable law.

COUNT III WRONGFUL DISCHARGE UNDER PENNSYLVANIA COMMON LAW

- 44. Paragraphs 1 through 44 are hereby incorporated by reference, as though the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 45. On or about April 21, 2016, Plaintiff was diagnosed with a hernia, an injury he sustained during the course and scope of his employment
 - 46. Plaintiff's work-related injury caused Plaintiff to require medical care and treatment.
- 47. Defendant unlawfully violated the public policy exception to Pennsylvania's common law tradition of at-will employment by unlawfully and retaliatorily terminating Plaintiff's employment due to the steps that they believed that Plaintiff was likely to take to avail himself of the benefits of the Pennsylvania Worker's Compensation Law.
- 48. Plaintiff's termination was in violation of public policy pursuant to Pennsylvania common law.

WHEREFORE, as a result of the unlawful conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against Defendant, and grant him the maximum relief allowed by law, including, but not limited to:

- A. Back wages, front pay, and bonuses in an amount to be determined at trial, but no less than (\$150,000.00) one hundred and fifty thousand dollars;
 - B. Compensatory damages and lost benefits;
 - C. Punitive damages for Defendant's discriminatory practices which were

committed with malicious and reckless indifference to Plaintiff's rights;

D. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the

financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth by

applicable federal law;

E. Pre-judgment interest in an appropriate amount; and

F. Such other and further relief as is just and equitable under the circumstances.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

MURPHY LAW GROUP, LLC

By: /s/Michael Murphy, Esq.

Andrew Paul Condiles, Esquire Michael Murphy, Esquire Eight Penn Center, Suite 2000 1628 John F. Kennedy Blvd. Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone: (267) 273-1054

acondiles@phillyemploymentlawyer.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: October 19, 2020

DEMAND TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE

The Defendant is hereby demanded to preserve all physical and electronic information pertaining in any way to Plaintiff's employment, to his potential claims and his claims to damages, to any defenses to same, including, but not limited to electronic data storage, employment files, files, memos, job descriptions, text messages, e-mails, spreadsheets, images, cache memory, payroll records, paystubs, time records, timesheets, and any other information and/or data which may be relevant to any claim or defense in this litigation.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
(267) 273-1054	(215) 525-0210	murphy@phillyemploymentla	wyer.com
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
10/19/2020	Michael Murphy, Esq.	Plaintiff	
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(x)
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed ex	or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ring claims for personal injury o	r property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designated for arbitra	tion under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.			()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.			()
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANAGI	EMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	ase Management Track Designate a copy on all defendants. (See event that a defendant does not shall, with its first appearance, s	eduction Plan of this court, couns ion Form in all civil cases at the tin \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the reagree with the plaintiff regarding ubmit to the clerk of court and set a Designation Form specifying the d.	me of everse g said ve on
Techo-Bloc Corporation	on :	NO.	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

John Morton

v.

Case 5:20-cv-05196-JLS Document 1 Filed 10/19/20 Page 12 of 13 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TH	HIS FORM.)	, .	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
John Morton			Techo-Bloc Corpo	ration	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Michael Murphy, Esq., M Suite 2000, 1628 John F 267-273-1054	ACEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Numbe, lurphy Law Group, LLC	r) C, Eight Penn Center,	NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O DIDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	<i>'</i>
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			EODERICHDE/DENALTW		of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALTY □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 □ 690 Other LABOR □ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act □ 720 Labor/Management Relations □ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 751 Family and Medical Leave Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation □ 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION □ 462 Naturalization Application □ 465 Other Immigration Actions	322 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 424 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 425 Withdrawal 28	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure □ Act/Review or Appeal of □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 3 tte Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court tute under which you are figure 12101 et seq., and P	Reinstated or Reopened Anothe (specify) ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state HRA, 43 P.S. § 951, et se	r District Litigation Transfer tutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN	Administrative re	nuse: <u>medies have been exh</u> IS A CLASS ACTION	nausted DEMAND \$	CHECK VES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		DEMAIND 5	JURY DEMAND:	-
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 10/19/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of attor /s/Michael M			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUE	OGE

Case 5:20-cv-05196-**UnsTedSocuresentsTrieil@oún/**19/20 Page 13 of 13 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:	5 East 3rd Street, Pottstown, F	PA 19464
Address of Defendant:	22 Ouerry Bood Douglesoville	, PA 19518
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	23 Quarry Road, Dougla	assville, PA 19518
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when <i>Yes</i> is answered	to any of the following questions:	
Is this case related to property included in an ear previously terminated action in this court?	rlier numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No 🗸
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or pending or within one year previously terminate.		Yes No 🗸
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement numbered case pending or within one year previous		Yes No 🗸
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpu case filed by the same individual?	s, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🗸
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above.	is / • is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in
DATE: 10/19/2020	/s/Michael Murphy, Esq. 91262	
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction (Cases:
	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle I	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury ation 1 Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity ity — Asbestos sity Cases
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defame 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle I 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify):	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity Ity — Asbestos sity Cases
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defame 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle I 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify): 4 Marine Personal 7 Products Liabili 9 All other Divers (Please specify):	ract and Other Contracts nal Injury nation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ity Ity — Asbestos sity Cases
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defame 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle I 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION For this certification is to remove the case from eligibility Sounsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: 2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the doff interest and costs:	ract and Other Contracts hal Injury hation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): htty Asbestos hity Cases for arbitration.)
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect I, Michael Murphy, Esq., or exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive or exceed the sum of	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Control 2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defame 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle I 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION For this certification is to remove the case from eligibility Sounsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: 2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the doff interest and costs:	ract and Other Contracts hal Injury hation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): htty Asbestos hity Cases for arbitration.)
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect I, Michael Murphy, Esq., contract of the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of \$150,00	All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contracts 2. Airplane Persona 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Persona 5. Motor Vehicle I 6. Other Personal 7. Products Liabili 8. Products Liabili 9. All other Divers (Please specify): (Please specify): **Total Contracts** **ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION** **Total Contracts** **Total Contracts*	ract and Other Contracts hal Injury hation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): hty hty — Asbestos hity Cases for arbitration.) lamages recoverable in this civil action case